

I-1092-001

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

From: [DAVID C SUTHERLAND](#)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments;](#)
CC:
Subject: Proposals
Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 12:56:43 PM
Attachments:

I-1092-001

I am against the 6 Lane and the Pacific Interchange proposal because they are both stupid and irresponsible. The 6 Lane is stupid because there is no place for increased Westbound traffic to go once it comes to I-5. The Westbound lanes will become another parking lot, except with engines running. The proposals are irresponsible because there will be increased air pollution, noise, and destruction of wetlands and the Arboretum. I thought Seattle and Washington State were committed to stopping global warming and improving air and water conditions. We do not need another temple to the automobile. Enough of Capitol Hill in Seattle has been destroyed because of freeways. Loretta Sutherland, 1816 Federal Ave. E., Seattle, Wa.

From: [DAVID C SUTHERLAND](#)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments;](#)
CC:
Subject: Proposals
Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 12:59:51 PM
Attachments:

I-1092-002

I am in favor of the 4 lane proposal with a shoulder so if there is an accident or stalled car, cars can still get around which cannot be done with the current 520. Loretta Sutherland.

I-1092-002

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.